### POSSIBLE NONURBAN ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS DUE TO CARBON MONOXIDE

### AND NITROGEN OXIDES EMISSIONS

Shaw C. Liu<sup>†</sup>
National Center for Atmospheric Research\*
Boulder, Colorado

### INTRODUCTION

During the last few years, the nonurban environmental effects of carbon monoxide (CO) and nitrogen oxides (i.e.,  $NO_X = NO + NO_2$ ) emissions have been investigated by an increasing number of people (e.g., Crutzen (ref. 1), Sze (ref. 2), Chameides et al. (ref. 3), Stewart et al. (ref. 4), and Liu (ref. 5)). In this paper, these environmental effects which have been investigated will be reviewed and some new effects explored. Also, the sources and sinks of  $NO_X$  and its concentrations in the troposphere will be discussed in detail. Only a brief discussion of the sources and sinks of CO will be given here since there are already excellent discussions on this subject (Seiler (ref. 6), Jaffe (ref. 7), and Crutzen and Fishman (ref. 8)).

# SOURCES, SINKS, AND CONCENTRATIONS OF NO.

Nitric oxide (NO) is usually produced during high-temperature combustion. When No is released into the atmosphere, it is quickly converted to  ${\rm NO}_2$  through the reaction,

$$NO + O_3 + NO_2 + O_2$$
 (1)

This conversion gives NO a lifetime of about 100 seconds in the clean troposphere. Most of the NO<sub>2</sub> produced is photolyzed back to NO, but some recombines with OH to give HNO<sub>3</sub>, which is scavenged by rain. The sources and sinks of NO<sub>x</sub> are very uncertain. Table I lists the possible sources and sinks. The anthropogenic source, the only well-known one, contributes about 10 million metric tons of nitrogen per year (10 Mt(N)/yr) from automobiles and another 10 Mt(N)/yr from industrial sources, mainly power plants. The stratospheric downward flux of NO<sub>x</sub> is calculated from a one-dimensional model. Its source is oxidation of N<sub>2</sub>O by O( $^{\rm l}$ D) in the stratosphere. Production of NO<sub>x</sub> from the reaction of NH<sub>3</sub> with OH is very uncertain because the average concentration of NH<sub>3</sub> is not known. Measurements in the clean rural area are scarce (Georgii and Muller (ref. 9) and Lodge et al. (ref. 10)). However, the average concentration of NH<sub>3</sub> in the troposphere can be estimated if its source and

TVisiting scientist from University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan.

<sup>\*</sup>The National Center for Atmospheric Research is sponsored by the National Science Foundation.

lifetime are known. Dawson (ref. 11) estimated the source of NH3 to be about 50 Mt(N)/yr. Since NH3 is easily scavenged by rain droplets and absorbed by plants and soil, its lifetime can be assumed to be less than 5 days. If the average OH concentration is assumed to be  $4 \times 10^5$  cm<sup>-3</sup> (Singh (ref. 12)), then the lifetime for NH3 oxidation by OH is about 180 days. Therefore, less than 3 percent of the NH2 will be oxidized by OH; that is, the upper limit of this source of  $NO_{x}$  is 2 Mt(N)/yr. The most important natural source of  $NO_{x}$  is probably lightning. Noxon (ref. 13) measured enhancements of NO2 during a number of storms and gave an order-of-magnitude estimate of a global source of  $NO_2$  from lightning of about  $10^{10}$  cm<sup>-2</sup>-sec<sup>-1</sup> or 40 Mt(N)/yr. Recent theoretical  $\mathtt{calculations}$  (Griffing (ref. 14)) have arrived at somewhat the same order of magnitude for production of NO2 in lightning. Since there is probably as much NO produced in lightning (Griffing (ref. 14), Chameides et al. (ref. 15), and Tuck (ref. 16)), the total NO<sub>x</sub> source due to lightning is about 80 Mt(N)/yr. This value is probably too high because the ultimate sink of NO<sub>x</sub> is the soil and ocean, where it is converted to nitrate. This source strength implies that a substantial amount of fixed nitrogen nutrient is input into the soil and ocean. The natural nitrogen fixation rate is only about 175 Mt(N)/yr on the land (Hardy and Havelka (ref. 17)) and only about 10 Mt(N)/yr in the ocean (Delwiche (ref. 18)). How much  $NO_X$  is produced from forest fires and agricultural burning is uncertain; however, if it is assumed that the emission efficiency is the same as for coal burning, this source can be estimated to be less than 10 Mt(N)/yr.

The sinks of  $NO_x$  are very uncertain except for the photochemical sink,

$$OH + NO2 + M + HNO3 + M$$
 (2)

The averaged tropospheric OH concentrations,  $2 \times 10^5~\rm cm^{-3}$  in the Northern Hemisphere (N.H.) and  $6 \times 10^5~\rm cm^{-3}$  in the Southern Hemisphere (S.H.), have been deduced by Singh (ref. 12) by examining the global budget and measured distribution of methyl chloroform (CH<sub>3</sub>CCl<sub>3</sub>). By adopting his values, the average lifetime for NO<sub>x</sub> due to this reaction is calculated to be 2 days in the S.H. and 6 days in the N.H. Since NO<sub>2</sub> is soluble in water and must therefore be subject to rain scavenging, the lifetime of NO<sub>2</sub> against rain scavenging can be assumed to be 6 days, the same as the lifetime of water vapor. This implies that the lifetime of NO<sub>x</sub> is about 10 days since the NO<sub>2</sub> to NO<sub>x</sub> ratio is about 2 to 3. The dry deposition lifetime for NO<sub>x</sub> is calculated from an arbitrarily assumed deposition velocity of 0.1 cm-sec<sup>-1</sup> for NO<sub>2</sub>.

Until recently, there were very few measurements of  $NO_X$  in the nonurban areas. Table II was adopted from the summaries of NO and  $NO_2$  measurements by Drummond (ref. 19) and Noxon (ref. 20) who cite references 10 and 21 to 31. Most of these measurements were taken at one location for a short period of time with the exceptions of Noxon (ref. 20) and Lodge et al. (ref. 10). Since the lifetime of  $NO_X$  is only about 4 days, the standard deviation of its mixing ratio in the troposphere should be about 10, according to Junge (ref. 32), and even larger near the Earth's surface which is closer to the sources and sinks. Therefore, a large amount of data spread over a wide range of areas is needed to establish the average  $NO_X$  content in the troposphere. Nevertheless, in table II, one can see that very low concentrations ( $\lesssim 0.1$  ppb) of NO and  $NO_2$ 

have been indicated by recent measurements. Noxon, in particular, has monitored  $NO_2$  at the National Oceanographic and Atmospheric Administration's Fritz Peak Observatory in Colorado since fall 1974 (ref. 30) and has made numerous observations over North America and Peru (ref. 20). His data have convincingly shown that in the Western Hemisphere (W.H.), the clean air tropospheric column density of  $NO_2$  is below  $5 \times 10^{14}$  cm<sup>-2</sup>. If the scale height of  $NO_2$  is assumed to be about 2 km, as suggested in some recent models (Chameides (ref. 33), Crutzen et al. (ref. 34), and Liu (ref. 5)), this column density of  $NO_2$  corresponds to a mixing ratio of only 0.1 ppb at the Earth's surface.

However, if 95 percent of the industrial  $NO_{\mathbf{X}}$  and 50 percent of the  $NO_{\mathbf{X}}$ from lightning are assumed to be emitted into the Northern Hemisphere, a simple one-dimensional model (e.g., Fishman and Crutzen (ref. 35), Liu (ref. 5), or Chameides (ref. 36)) would give a mixing ratio of 0.5 ppb for NO2 and 0.2 ppb for NO at the surface. These values are 5 times higher than the upper limit set by Noxon's NO2 observations and about 10 times higher than Drummond's NO measurements. Crutzen (private communication, 1977) suggested that there might be some as yet unknown mechanisms for removal of  $NO_{\mathbf{x}}$  that is more than 5 times as efficient as the sink of reaction (2). On the other hand, Chameides (ref. 36) suggested that for a rural area which is far away from  $NO_x$  sources, the  $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{X}}$  observed is probably due to the photolysis of  $\mathrm{HNO}_3$  because  $\mathrm{HNO}_3$  has a longer lifetime than  $NO_X$ . Therefore, one can assume that  $HNO_3$  is emitted into the atmosphere instead of  $NO_X$ . The  $NO_X$  mixing ratio evaluated in this way does fall below the observed upper limit. However, more realistic multidimensional models are needed to verify this concept, and more measurements of NO<sub>x</sub>, especially of the height profiles, are needed to establish the NO<sub>x</sub> content in the troposphere.

# SOURCES, SINKS, AND CONCENTRATIONS OF CO

A detailed discussion on this subject was given by Seiler (ref. 6). More detailed discussion on the anthropogenic sources of CO and its concentrations in the urban area was given by Jaffe (ref. 7). In table III, their values are given with modifications only to the photochemical source of CO from methane (CH<sub>4</sub>) oxidation and the sink of CO due to oxidation by OH. These were calculated by using the averaged OH densities given by Singh (ref. 12) for the two hemispheres and the CO distributions given by Seiler (ref. 6). These estimates agree with an earlier study by Crutzen and Fishman (ref. 8). The sink of CO due to oxidation by OH is much larger because of the reaction,

$$CO + OH + CO_2 + H$$
 (3)

for which the pressure-dependent rate has been recently measured by Cox et al. (ref. 37), Sie et al. (ref. 38), Chan et al. (ref. 39), and Perry et al. (ref. 40). In the troposphere, this rate is about twice that previously accepted (Hampson and Garvin (ref. 41)). The imbalance of the source and the sink of CO is so large that there has to be an important unknown source of CO, as suggested by Crutzen and Fishman (ref. 8).

The average concentration of CO in the N.H. (0.2 ppm) is about a factor of 4 higher than in the S.H. (0.05 ppm), as shown by Seiler (ref. 6). Most

of this difference can probably be attributed to the fact that 95 percent of the anthropogenic sources of CO emitted are in the N.H.

# POSSIBLE EFFECTS DUE TO CO AND NOx EMISSIONS

# Increase in Tropospheric Ozone

This problem has been studied by many investigators (e.g., Chameides and Stedman (ref. 42), Stewart et al. (ref. 4), Fishman and Crutzen (ref. 35), and Liu (ref. 5)). The mechanism of production of ozone is a catalytic cycle:

$$CO + OH \rightarrow CO_2 + H \tag{3}$$

$$H + O_2 + M \rightarrow HO_2 + M \tag{4}$$

$$HO_2 + NO \rightarrow OH + NO_2$$
 (5)

$$NO_2 + hv \rightarrow NO + O \tag{6}$$

$$O + O_2 + M \rightarrow O_3 + M$$
 (7)

Net reaction: 
$$CO + 2O_2 + CO_2 + O_3$$
 (8)

This is a very efficient catalytic cycle. The only weak link before was reaction (5), for which the reaction rate was accepted to be  $4\times10^{-13}~\text{cm}^3\text{-sec}^{-1}$  (Hampson and Garvin (ref. 41)) but has since been measured accurately to be  $8\times10^{-12}~\text{cm}^3\text{-sec}^{-1}$  (Howard and Evenson (ref. 43)). Reactions (3) and (4) can be replaced with a methane oxidation chain:

$$CH_4 + OH \rightarrow CH_3 + H_2O \tag{9}$$

$$CH_3 + O_2 + M \rightarrow CH_3O_2 + M$$
 (10)

$$CH_3O_2 + NO \rightarrow CH_3O + NO_2$$
 (11)

$$CH_3O + O_2 \rightarrow H_2CO + HO_2$$
 (12)

$$HO_2 + NO \rightarrow OH + NO_2$$
 (5)

$$2(NO_2 + hv \rightarrow NO + O) \tag{6}$$

$$2(O + O_2 + M \rightarrow O_3 + M)$$
 (7)

Net reaction: 
$$CH_4 + 40_2 \rightarrow H_2O + H_2CO + 20_3$$
 (13)

Further reactions of  $H_2CO$  will produce CO. Without the anthropogenic emissions, the CO mixing ratio was probably less than 0.03 ppm, since most of the CO was produced from methane oxidation. The catalytic ozone production should have been dominated by the methane oxidation chain, reaction (13). With the present averaged CO concentration, reaction (8) now dominates the catalytic ozone production.

Anthropogenic  $NO_X$  emissions will speed up reaction (5) and thus increase ozone production rate. As discussed before, the natural  $NO_X$  source is very uncertain. Nevertheless, if the values in table I are adopted and  $NO_X$  distributions are assumed to be consistent with Noxon's upper limit on  $NO_2$ , an upper limit for ozone increase is about 40 percent in the N.H. and negligible in the S.H. These estimates can only be regarded as qualitative because of the neglect of some important nonlinear effects, such as the large deviations in water vapor and  $NO_X$  distributions. The ozone production rate has been found to increase less than linearly with  $NO_X$ , especially when  $NO_X$  concentration is higher than 1 ppb.

There are some experimental data (Fishman and Crutzen (ref. 44)) which indicate that the ozone concentration in the N.H. is about 50 percent higher than in the S.H. Of course, this could just be coincidental and the different ozone concentrations in the N.H. and S.H. could be due to other mechanisms, such as dynamics.

# Stratospheric Ozone Increase

Mechanism (8) may increase the lower stratospheric ozone when tropospheric CO is transported above the tropopause. In the stratosphere, the  $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{X}}$  is produced from oxidation of  $\mathrm{N_{2}O}$  by  $\mathrm{O(^{1}D)}$ . Tropospheric  $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{X}}$  does not penetrate into the stratosphere because of its small scale height, as discussed before. One-dimensional model calculation shows that the northern stratospheric ozone column density is increased by about 1 to 2 percent because of CO emission. Locally, the increase in ozone can be as high as 15 percent near the tropopause. However, Seiler's (ref. 6) CO measurements just above the tropopause seem to indicate very little penetration of tropospheric CO into the stratosphere. More measurements and multidimensional calculations are needed to resolve this problem.

#### Effects on Tropospheric OH

The important role that the OH radical plays in the tropospheric self-cleansing processes of gases such as NO<sub>2</sub>, SO<sub>2</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>S, CO, CH<sub>4</sub>, RH, and CH<sub>x</sub>Cl<sub>y</sub>F<sub>z</sub> has long been appreciated (Levy (ref. 45) and McConnell et al. (ref. 46)). Wofsy (ref. 47) noted that an increase in the global CO concentration may decrease the tropospheric OH concentration since CO and CH<sub>4</sub> are major sinks of OH. Sze (ref. 2) and Chameides et al. (ref. 3) have shown that CH<sub>4</sub> may increase by as much as 40 percent by the year 2000 if the anthropogenic emissions of CO keep increasing. In fact, Sze (ref. 2) has shown that CH<sub>4</sub> may be already increased by 20 percent since 1950. If the OH concentrations (6  $\times$  10<sup>5</sup> and 2  $\times$  10<sup>5</sup> cm<sup>-3</sup>) in the S.H. and N.H. deduced by Singh (ref. 12) are assumed

to represent unperturbed and perturbed conditions, respectively, they imply a 33-percent decrease in globally averaged OH concentration. This corresponds to a 33-percent increase in  $\text{CH}_4$  concentration, slightly higher than Sze's calculation. These calculations assumed a constant  $\text{CH}_4$  source which may have increased because of human activities, according to Crutzen (ref. 48).

Emissions of  $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{X}}$  can increase the tropospheric OH concentrations through reaction (5) (Liu (ref. 5)). Like the tropospheric ozone increase problem, a quantitative estimate depends on the knowledge of the background  $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{X}}$  abundance and natural  $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{X}}$  source. Using the values in table I and assuming  $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{X}}$  to be consistent with the upper limit of Noxon's measurement, an upper limit is estimated to be a 25-percent increase in tropospheric OH concentration due to  $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{X}}$  emissions. Here again, note that the nonlinear effect of large spatial deviations in  $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{X}}$  concentrations is not included. This effect tends to diminish the increase of OH due to  $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{X}}$  emissions.

Increases in CH<sub>4</sub>, CH<sub>3</sub>Cl, CH<sub>3</sub>CCl<sub>3</sub>, and other hydrocarbons due to decrease in OH not only could perturb the stratospheric photochemistry of odd hydrogen but also could increase the amount of odd chlorine released in the stratosphere by these species and thus further reduce stratospheric ozone (McConnell and Schiff (ref. 49)). Furthermore, the greenhouse effect of these species will be enhanced and result in a perturbation of the climate.

### Acid Rain

Likens (ref. 50) has shown that the pH of precipitation in the United States and Western Europe has been dropping significantly during the last 30 years. Sulfuric and nitric acids are major sources of acidity in precipitation. The Hubbard Brook Experimental Forest in the White Mountains of New Hampshire provides the longest known record for pH of precipitation in the United States. Likens found that, although sulfuric acid had been the dominant acid in precipitation there, the increased deposition of hydrogen ions has been due primarily to the increased amounts of nitric acid in the rain and snow. It is reasonable to believe that the increase in nitric acid in the precipitation is the result of anthropogenic  $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathbf{X}}$  emissions. In Scandinavia, it has been shown that, as the acidity of precipitation increased, the number of barren lakes increased sharply. In addition, the increased acidity enhances the weathering and erosion of buildings and materials.

## Fertilizer

As mentioned before, the  $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathbf{X}}$  emissions will eventually be rained out and deposited in the land and ocean as nitrate. The industrial nitrogen fertilizer production is only about 40 Mt(N)/yr (Hardy and Havelka (ref. 17)), about twice as much as  $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathbf{X}}$  emissions. Therefore, the  $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathbf{X}}$  emissions represent an important amount of fixed nitrogen fertilizer. Since nitrogen is one of the limiting nutrients in the land and ocean, it should help increase the productivity of plants. In this respect,  $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathbf{X}}$  emissions may play a positive role. However, increased fixed nitrogen input into the soil may increase the denitrification

rate and thus increase the  $N_2O$  flux into the atmosphere (Crutzen (ref. 51), Crutzen (ref. 52), McElroy et al. (ref. 53), Liu et al. (ref. 54), and Sze and Rice (ref. 55)). Increase in  $N_2O$  not only could perturb the ozone layer but also could have an increased greenhouse effect on the climate. Furthermore, increased nitrogen nutrient input into aquatic systems may speed up the rate of eutrophication of rivers and lakes.

## REFERENCES

- Crutzen, Paul J.: Photochemical Reactions Initiated by and Influencing Ozone in Unpolluted Tropospheric Air. Tellus, vol. 26, nos. 1-2, 1974, pp. 47-57.
- Sze, N. D.: Anthropogenic CO Emissions: Implications for the Atmospheric CO-OH-CH<sub>4</sub> Cycle. Science, vol. 195, no. 4279, Feb. 18, 1977, pp. 673-675.
- Chameides, W. L.; Liu, S. C.; and Cicerone, R. J.: Possible Variations in Atmospheric Methane. J. Geophys. Res., vol. 82, no. 12, Apr. 20, 1977, pp. 1795-1798.
- Stewart, Richard W.; Hameed, Sultan; and Pinto, Joseph P.: Photochemistry of Tropospheric Ozone. J. Geophys. Res., vol. 82, no. 21, July 20, 1977, pp. 3134-3140.
- 5. Liu, Shaw Chen: Possible Effects on Tropospheric O<sub>3</sub> and OH Due to NO Emissions. Geophys. Res. Lett., vol. 4, no. 8, Aug. 1977, pp. 325-328.
- 6. Seiler, Wolfgang: The Cycle of Atmospheric CO. Tellus, vol. 26, nos. 1-2, 1974, pp. 116-135.
- 7. Jaffe, Louis S.: Carbon Monoxide in the Biosphere: Sources, Distribution, and Concentrations. J. Geophys. Res., vol. 78, no. 24, Aug. 20, 1973, pp. 5293-5305.
- 8. Crutzen, Paul J.; and Fishman, Jack: Average Concentrations of OH in the Troposphere, and the Budgets of CH<sub>4</sub>, CO, H<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>3</sub>CCl<sub>3</sub>. Geophys. Res. Lett., vol. 4, no. 8, Aug. 1977, pp. 321-324.
- 9. Georgii, H. W.; and Muller, W. J.: Distribution of Ammonia in the Middle and Lower Troposphere. Tellus, vol. 26, nos. 1-2, 1974, pp. 180-184.
- 10. Lodge, James P., Jr.; Machado, P. A.; Pate, J. B.; Sheesly, D. C.; and Wartburg, A. F.: Atmospheric Trace Chemistry in the American Humid Tropics. Tellus, vol. 26, nos. 1-2, 1974, pp. 250-253.
- 11. Dawson, G. A.: Atmospheric Ammonia From Undisturbed Land. J. Geophys. Res., vol. 82, no. 21, July 20, 1977, pp. 3125-3133.
- 12. Singh, Hanwant B.: Preliminary Estimation of Average Tropospheric HO Concentrations in the Northern and Southern Hemispheres. Geophys. Res. Lett., vol. 4, no. 10, Oct. 1977, pp. 453-456.
- 13. Noxon, J. F.: Atmospheric Nitrogen Fixation by Lightning. Geophys. Res. Lett., vol. 3, no. 8, Aug. 1977, pp. 463-465.
- 14. Griffing, George W.: Ozone and Oxides of Nitrogen Production During Thunderstorms. J. Geophys. Res., vol. 82, no. 6, Feb. 20, 1977, pp. 943-950.

- 15. Chameides, W, L.; Stedman, D. H.; Dickerson, R. R.; Rusch, D. W.; and Cicerone, R. J.: NO<sub>X</sub> Production in Lightning. J. Atmos. Sci., vol. 34, no. 1, Jan. 1977, pp. 143-149.
- Tuck, A. F.: Production of Nitrogen Oxides by Lightning Discharges.
   Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc., vol. 102, no. 434, Oct. 1976, pp. 749-755.
- 17. Hardy, R. W. F.; and Havelka, U. D.: Nitrogen Fixation Research: A Key to World Food? Science, vol. 188, no. 4188, May 9, 1975, pp. 633-643.
- 18. Delwiche, C. C.: The Nitrogen Cycle. Sci. American, vol. 223, no. 3, Sept. 1970, pp. 136-146.
- 19. Drummond, John Wendell: Atmospheric Measurements of Nitric Oxide Using a Chemiluminescent Detector. Ph.D. Diss., Univ. of Wyoming, 1977.
- 20. Noxon, John F.: Tropospheric NO<sub>2</sub>. J. Geophys. Res., vol. 83, no. C5, May 1978.
- 21. Atmospheric Condensation Nuclei and Trace Gases. Contract DA-91-591-EUC-2126, Dep. Phys., Univ. College (Galway, Ireland), 1962. (Available from DDC as AD 420 435.)
- 22. Junge, Christian E.: Air Chemistry and Radioactivity. Academic Press, Inc., 1963.
- 23. Lodge, James P., Jr.; and Pate, John B.: Atmospheric Gases and Particulates in Panama. Science, vol. 153, no. 3734, July 22, 1966, pp. 408-410.
- 24. Ripperton, Lyman A.; Worth, James J. B.; and Kornreich, Lawrence: Nitrogen Dioxide and Nitric Oxide in Non-Urban Air. Paper presented at the 61st Annual Meeting of the Air Pollution Control Association, June 1968.
- 25. Hamilton, Harry L., Jr.; Worth, James J. B.; and Ripperton, Lyman A.:
  An Atmospheric Physics and Chemistry Study on Pikes Peak in Support of
  Pulmonary Edema Research. Contract DAHC19-67-C-0029, Research Triangle
  Inst., May 1968. (Available from DDC as AD 670 989.)
- 26. Hidy, G. M.: Removal Processes of Gaseous and Particulate Pollutants. Chemistry of the Lower Atmosphere, S. I. Rasool, ed., Plenum Press, 1973, pp. 121-176.
- 27. Breeding, R. J.; Lodge, J. P., Jr.; Pate, J. B.; Sheesley, D. C.; Klonis, H. B.; Fogle, B.; Anderson, J. A.; Englert, T. R.; Haagenson, P. L.; McBeth, R. B.; Morris, A. L.; Pogue, R.; and Wartburg, A. F.: Background Trace Gas Concentrations in the Central United States. J. Geophys. Res., vol. 78, no. 30, Oct. 20, 1973, pp. 7057-7064.
- 28. Nash, T.: Nitrous Acid in the Atmosphere and Laboratory Experiments on Its Photolysis. Tellus, vol. 26, nos. 1-2, 1974, pp. 175-179.

- 29. Moore, Howard: Isotopic Measurement of Atmospheric Nitrogen Compounds. Tellus, vol. 26, nos. 1-2, 1974, pp. 169-174.
- 30. Noxon, J. F.: Nitrogen Dioxide in the Stratosphere and Troposphere Measured by Ground-Based Absorption Spectroscopy. Science, vol. 189, no. 4202, Aug. 1975, pp. 547-549.
- 31. Briehl, D. C.; Hilsenrath, E.; Ridley, B. A.; and Schiff, H. I.: In Situ Measurements of Nitric Oxide, Water Vapour and Ozone From an Aircraft. Second International Conference on the Environmental Impact of Aerospace Operations in the High Atmosphere Preprints, American Meteorol. Soc., July 1974, pp. 11-15.
- 32. Junge, C. E.: Residence Time and Variability of Tropospheric Trace Gases. Tellus, vol. 26, no. 4, 1974, pp. 477-488.
- 33. Chameides, William: Tropospheric Odd Nitrogen and the Atmospheric Water Vapor Cycle. J. Geophys. Res., vol. 80, no. 36, Dec. 20, 1975, pp. 4989-4996.
- 34. Crutzen, Paul J.; Isaksen, Ivar S. A.; and McAfee, John R.: The Impact of the Chlorocarbon Industry on the Ozone Layer. J. Geophys. Res., vol. 83, no. Cl, Jan. 20, 1978, pp. 345-363.
- 35. Fishman, Jack; and Crutzen, Paul J.: A Numerical Study of Tropospheric Photochemistry Using a One-Dimensional Model. J. Geophys. Res., vol. 82, no. 37, Dec. 20, 1977, pp. 5897-5906.
- 36. Chameides, W. L.: The Photochemical Role of Tropospheric Nitrogen Oxides. Geophys. Res. Lett., vol. 5, no. 1, Jan. 1978, pp. 17-20.
- 37. Cox, Richard A.; Derwent, Richard G.; and Holt, Pauline M.: Relative Rate Constants for the Reactions of OH Radicals With H<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub>, CO, NO and HONO at Atmospheric Pressure and 296 K. J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans. I, vol. 72, pt. 9, 1976, pp. 2031-2043.
- 38. Sie, B. K. T.; Simonaitis, R.; and Heicklen, J.: The Reaction of OH With CO. Int. J. Chem. Kinet., vol. 8, no. 1, 1976, pp. 85-98.
- 39. Chan, Walter H.; Uselman, William M.; Calvert, Jack G.; and Shaw, John H.: The Pressure Dependence of the Rate Constant for the Reaction:
  HO + CO → H + CO<sub>2</sub>. Chem. Phys. Lett., vol. 45, no. 2, Jan. 15, 1977, pp. 240-243.
- 40. Perry, R. A.; Atkinson, R.; and Pitts, J. N., Jr.: Kinetics of the Reactions of OH Radicals With C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>2</sub> and CO. J. Chem. Phys., vol. 67, no. 12, Dec. 15, 1977, pp. 5577-5584.
- 41. Hampson, Robert F., Jr.; and Garvin, David, eds.: Chemical Kinetic and Photochemical Data for Modelling Atmospheric Chemistry. NBS Tech. Note 866, U.S. Dep. Commer., June 1975.

- 42. Chameides, William L.; and Stedman, Donald H.: Ozone Formation From  $NO_X$  in "Clean Air." Environ. Sci. & Technol., vol. 10, no. 2, Feb. 1976, pp. 150-153.
- 43. Howard, Carleton J.; and Evenson, K. M.: Kinetics of the Reaction of HO<sub>2</sub> With NO. Geophys. Res. Lett., vol. 4, no. 10, Oct. 1977, pp. 437-440.
- 44. Fishman, J.; and Crutzen, P. J.: Computed and Observed Differences Between the Northern and Southern Hemisphere Distribution of Various Trace Constituents in the Troposphere. Paper presented at the 1977 Fall Meeting of the American Geophysical Union (San Francisco, California), Dec. 1977. (Abstract E®S Trans., American Geophys. Union, vol. 58, no. 12, Dec. 1977, p. 1148.)
- 45. Levy, H., II: Normal Atmosphere: Large Radical and Formaldehyde Concentrations Predicted. Science, vol. 173, no. 3992, July 9, 1971, pp. 141-143.
- 46. McConnell, J. C.; McElroy, M. B.; and Wofsy, S. C.: Natural Sources of Atmospheric CO. Nature, vol. 233, no. 5316, Sept. 17, 1971, pp. 187-188.
- 47. Wofsy, Steven C.: Interactions of CH<sub>4</sub> and CO in the Earth's Atmosphere. Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences, Fred A. Donath, Francis G. Stehli, and George W. Wetherill, eds., Volume 4, Annual Reviews Inc., 1976, pp. 441-469.
- 48. Crutzen, Paul J.: An Attempt To Reconstruct the Composition of the PreIndustrial Atmosphere. Paper presented at the Third General Scientific
  Assembly of the International Association of Geomagnetism and Aeronomy
  and the Second Special Assembly of the International Association of
  Meteorology and Atmospheric Physics (Seattle, Washington), Aug.-Sept.
  1977. (Abstract E&S Trans., American Geophys. Union, vol. 58,
  no. 8, Aug. 1977, p. 689.)
- 49. McConnell, J. C.; and Schiff, H. I.: Methyl Chloroform: Impact on Stratospheric Ozone. Science, vol. 199, no. 4325, Jan. 1978, pp. 174-176.
- 50. Likens, Gene E.: Acid Precipitation. Chem. & Eng. News, vol. 54, no. 48, 1976, pp. 29-31.
- 51. Crutzen, Paul J.: SST's. Threat to the Earth's Ozone Shield. Ambio, vol. 1, no. 2, 1972, pp. 41-51.
- 52. Crutzen, Paul J.: Upper Limits on Atmospheric Ozone Reductions Following Increased Application of Fixed Nitrogen to the Soil. Geophys. Res. Lett., vol. 3, no. 3, Mar. 1976, pp. 169-172.
- 53. McElroy, Michael B.; Elkins, James W.; Wofsy, Steven C.; and Yung, Yuk Ling: Sources and Sinks for Atmospheric N<sub>2</sub>O. Rev. Geophys. & Space Phys., vol. 14, no. 2, May 1976, pp. 143-150.

- 54. Liu, S. C.; Cicerone, R. J.; Donahue, T. M.; and Chameides, W. L.: Sources and Sinks of Atmospheric N<sub>2</sub>O and the Possible Ozone Reduction Due to Industrial Fixed Nitrogen Fertilizers. Tellus, vol. 29, no. 3, June 1977, pp. 251-263.
- 55. Sze, Nien Dak; and Rice, Harbert: Nitrogen Cycle Factors Contributing to  $N_2O$  Production From Fertilizers. Geophys. Res. Lett., vol. 3, no. 6, June 1976, pp. 343-346.

# TABLE I.- SOURCES AND SINKS OF TROPOSPHERIC $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{X}}$

Sources, Mt(N)/yr:							
	20						
Stratospheric downward flux	0.5						
NH <sub>3</sub> + OH	<2						
Lightning	≈80						
Forest fires	<10						
Sinks, lifetime against sink:							
NO <sub>2</sub> + OH	days						
Rain out	days						
	days						
aThe lifetime was calculated to be 2 days in the Southern Hemisphere and							

6 days in the Northern Hemisphere. See the discussion in text.

TABLE II.- SUMMARY OF NO AND NO  $_2$  MEASUREMENTS [Adopted from Drummond (ref. 19) and Noxon (ref. 20)]

Gas	Amount,	Location	Reference	
NO <sub>2</sub>	0.02 to 0.3	Ireland	Reference 21	
NO <sub>2</sub>	1 to 2	Florida; Hawaii	Junge (ref. 22)	
NO <sub>2</sub>	0.9 to 3.6	Panama	Lodge and Pate (ref. 23)	
NO <sub>2</sub>	4.6	North Carolina	Kronreich et al. (ref. 24)	
NO <sub>2</sub>	4.1	Pikes Peak, Colorado	Hamilton et al. (ref. 25)	
NO	2.7	Pikes Peak, Colorado	Hamilton et al. (ref. 25)	
NO <sub>2</sub>	0.5 to 4		Hidy (ref. 26)	
NO	0.2 to 2		Hidy (ref. 26)	
NO <sub>2</sub>	1 to 3	Central United States	Breeding et al. (ref. 27)	
NO <sub>2</sub>	4 to 21	Southern England	Nash (ref. 28)	
NO <sub>2</sub>	0.2 to 0.7	Tropics	Lodge et al. (ref. 10)	
NO	0.1 to 0.7	Tropics	Lodge et al. (ref. 10)	
NO <sub>2</sub>	0.1 to 0.3	Boulder, Colorado	Moore (ref. 29)	
NO <sub>2</sub>	<0.1	Fritz Peak, Colorado	Noxon (ref. 30)	
NO	≲0.05	8 to 12 km over Pacific Ocean	Briehl et al. (ref. 31)	
NO	0.01 to 0.05	Laramie, Wyoming	Drummond (ref. 19)	
NO <sub>x</sub>	0.1 to 0.4	Laramie, Wyoming	Drummond (ref. 19)	
NO <sub>2</sub>	≲0.1	North America; Peru	Noxon (ref. 20)	

TABLE III.- SOURCES AND SINKS OF TROPOSPHERIC CO
[Mostly adopted from Seiler (ref. 6) and Jaffe (ref. 7)]

	Northern Hemisphere	Southern Hemisphere	Global
Sources, Mt(N)/yr:			
Anthropogenic	450	50	500
Ocean	40	60	100
Forest fires	40	20	60
Oxidation of hydrocarbon	40	20	60
Oxidation of CH <sub>4</sub>	_80_	240	320
Total	650	390	1040
Sinks, Mt(N)/yr: Upward flux into stratosphere	90	20	110
	300	20 150	
Uptake by soil			450
Oxidation by OH	<u>600</u>	<u>600</u>	1200
Total	990	770	1760